

# **ENVISION. ACCELERATE.**

The best of both worlds: Delivering aggregated performance for high-performance math libraries in accelerated systems

Dr James Irwin and Mr Simon McIntosh-Smith ClearSpeed Technology plc

Copyright © 2007 ClearSpeed Technology plc. All rights reserved.

www.clearspeed.com

ARR



#### Introduction to accelerated systems

#### Many systems are already reaching infrastructure limits:

- Data center size
- Power supply
- Cooling

# Accelerators emerging to significantly increase performance per (cubic meter, watt)

# Tokyo Tech created the first of the new wave of accelerated supercomputers, TSUBAME

- Performance increased from 38 TFLOPS to 47 TFLOPS with 360 ClearSpeed Advance<sup>™</sup> accelerators
- An increase in performance of 24%, but for just a 1% increase in power consumption
- #9 in the November 2006 Top500



#### Professor Matsuoka standing beside TSUBAME at Tokyo Tech



#### **ClearSpeed accelerators and CSXL**



ClearSpeed Advance<sup>™</sup> accelerator:

- ~66 GFLOPS DGEMM @210MHz
- PCI-X now, PCI Express x8 soon
- ~25 watts for entire board
- 1GByte of local DRAM with ECC
- 20cm long
- CSXL accelerated math library includes key routines from L3 BLAS and LAPACK

– E.g. DGEMM, DGETRF

 Plug-and-play: applications call routines in CSXL like any other BLAS/LAPACK library

Data transfers to/from accelerator handled internally



#### LINPACK

| Eile Source Files Window Help   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| □ □ ○ |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| / hundredPercent.cst \ fivePercent.cst \  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 🛅 Timeline  |   |           |           |           |                |               | ······································ |  |  |  |  |
| 63558 🗸 🛏 📼 😜 😜 🔿   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| usecs   | 108048600   | 114404400 | 120760200 | 127116000 | 133471800      | 139827600     | 146183400                              |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |           |           | •         | 17648          | 343           | <u>→</u>                               |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDGESV(KZ)  |   |           |           |           |                |               | <b>▲</b>                               |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDGESV(K2) PFACT  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDGESV(K2) DEPTH PRECHARGE  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDGESV(K2) MPI  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDRPAN(RLN) DGEMM   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDRPAN(RLN) DTRSM   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDUPDATE(NN)  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19856) PDUPDATE(NN) CS DTRSM   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19856) PDUPDATE(NN) CS DGEMM   |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) PDUPDATE(NN) MPI  |   |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19818) Unknown   |   |           |           |           |                |               | <b></b>                                |  |  |  |  |
| •   |   |           |           | 30353     |                | 888888        |  |  |  |  |  |
| m = 9560, n = 4608, k = 1152 GFLOPS = 1   | = 5.751 m = 9560, n = 4608, k = 1152 GFLOPS = 5.751 |           |           |           |                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3045/3045 records loaded  |   |           |           | 129       | 376095 J147024 | 438  17648343 |  |  |  |  |  |

## ClearSpeed's visual profiler showing the LINPACK benchmark running on an unaccelerated 1.8GHz dual-core AMD Opteron

4



#### Accelerators: replacement performance

- Typically an accelerator runs a computationally intensive kernel instead of the host
- This leaves performance on the table
  - Today's multi-core CPUs are capable of tens of GFLOPS





#### **Accelerators:** additive performance



# Extend CSXL so that it can heterogeneously use the host and the accelerator at the same time



#### Additive LINPACK

| Eile Source Files Window Help                |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|--|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Buffer 55458846 Position 0 < >               |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (hundredPercent.cst ) fivePercent.cst )      |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timeline                                     |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15597  |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| usecs 26514900 28074600                      | 29634300  | 31194000                                     | 32753700      | 34313400                    | 35873100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |           |  | •             | <b>_</b> 1700509 <b>_</b> ► |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDGESV(K2)                           |           |  |               |                             | ▲        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDGESV(K2) PFACT                     |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDGESV(K2) DEPTH PRECHARGE           |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDGESV(K2) MPI                       |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDRPAN(RLN) DGEMM                    |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDRPAN(RLN) DTRSM                    |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDUPDATE(NN)                         |           | -  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20890) PDUPDATE(NN) CS DTRSM                |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20890) PDUPDATE(NN) CS DGEMM                |           | -  |               | C                           |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) PDUPDATE(NN) MPI                     |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (20849) Unknown                              |           |  |               |                             | <b></b>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |           |  |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m = 9560, n = 4608, k = 1152 GFLOPS = 59.686 | m = 9560, | m = 9560, n = 4608, k = 1152 GFLOPS = 59.686 |               |                             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6629/6629 records loaded                     |           | 330  | 330360  35530 | 869  1700509                | J        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# DGEMM now using the dual core Opteron and the accelerator at the same time

DGEMM performance increased from 46 to 60 GFLOPS



#### **Static load balancing**

- Once CSXL can use the host and the accelerator to perform DGEMM at the same time, how should it divide the work from a single DGEMM call across these heterogeneous resources?
- A simple approach is to use a static host fraction
  - Split every call to DGEMM into a fixed amount for the host and the rest for the accelerator
- Advantages of this approach:
  - Can be very finely tuned by experimentation
  - Simple to implement
- Disadvantages of this approach:
  - Inflexible different sizes and shapes of DGEMM may suit different host fractions
  - Time consuming deriving the ideal host fraction may require much experimentation
    - Static host fraction derived as the ratio of the DGEMM performance curves of the host and the accelerator



#### **Dynamic host fraction and auto calibration**

- The static host fraction scheme has drawbacks
- We developed a dynamic host fraction method which employs a model of the performance of a homogeneous system when multiplying an m×k matrix by a k×n matrix:

#### $d_1mn+d_2mk+d_3nk+d_4mnk$

- The values of the d<sub>i</sub> coefficients can be derived automatically as part of a calibration step
- Advantages over the static scheme:
  - Flexible: works for varying shapes and sizes of DGEMM
  - Easy to use: auto calibration



#### Test system specifications

- We tested the effectiveness of the dynamic host fraction scheme in two different multicore systems:
  - 1. A four core system
    - Two AMD Opteron 280s (2.4GHz dual-core)
  - 2. An eight core system
    - Four AMD Opteron 870s (2GHz dual-core)
- Both systems included a single ClearSpeed accelerator



#### **Predicted vs. measured DGEMM performance**

 Individually validating the models of the hosts and the accelerators



#### 4 core system

8 core system



#### **Dynamic host fractions**

### Resulting dynamic host fractions



#### 4 core system

8 core system



#### Measured aggregate DGEMM performance

Do we achieve additive performance?



#### 4 core system

8 core system



#### How good are these results?

#### • What additive performance might we expect for DGEMM?

- One simple model would be to sum the performance predicted by the models for the host and the accelerator
- Ignores important effects:
  - · Overhead on the host supporting the accelerator
  - Peaky DGEMM performance





#### Additive LINPACK results

# Static (took a morning to manually calibrate):

- 4 core system: *41.3* GFLOPS
- 8 core system: *43.6* GFLOPS

### Dynamic (auto calibrated in 10 seconds):

- 4 core system: 35.79 GFLOPS
  - 87% of best static score
- 8 core system: 39.57 GFLOPS
  - 91% of best static score

# LINPACK job size was ~6GBytes, relatively small



#### Conclusions

 We have demonstrated that aggregating heterogeneous compute resources across multi-core general purpose CPUs and accelerators can be efficient and flexible

- We believe this method is widely applicable within HPC

- The heterogeneous DGEMM implementation in both static and dynamic host fraction forms achieved excellent aggregate performance
- The dynamic host fraction version was significantly easier to use, and delivers better performance in situations where the shapes of the DGEMM calls varied



#### Further Work

- More accurate models and calibration of DGEMM performance on the host and accelerator
- Extend heterogeneous support across:
  - Other BLAS/LAPACK functions, such as *DTRSM*
  - Other data parallel computations
- Support multiple accelerators simultaneously
- Extend the range of supported heterogeneous processor classes to include remote resources
- Optimize for performance per watt vs. outright performance



#### Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank our colleagues at ClearSpeed who have been developing the CSXL library and who have significantly contributed to this work, including:
  - Matthias Dejaegher
  - John Gustafson
- Our thanks go to the entire CSXL team!
- A full of this paper will be available online from www.clearspeed.com