

Advanced Algorithms – COMS31900

van Emde Boas trees

Raphaël Clifford

Slides by Benjamin Sach

In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

University of BR ISTOI

Three operations are supported:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{add}(x,v) & \operatorname{Add} \text{ the the pair } (x,v) \text{ where } x \in U, \text{ the universe} \\ \operatorname{lookup}(x) & \operatorname{Return} v \text{ if } (x,v) \text{ is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.} \\ \operatorname{delete}(x) & \operatorname{Remove pair} (x,v) \text{ (assuming } (x,v) \text{ is in the dictionary).} \end{array}$

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

University of BRISTOL

Three operations are supported:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{add}(x,v) & \operatorname{Add} \text{ the the pair } (x,v) \text{ where } x \in U, \text{ the universe} \\ \operatorname{lookup}(x) & \operatorname{Return} v \text{ if } (x,v) \text{ is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.} \\ \operatorname{delete}(x) & \operatorname{Remove pair} (x,v) \text{ (assuming } (x,v) \text{ is in the dictionary).} \end{array}$

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing in particular...

In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...

University of





University of

Dictionaries

In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...



What's not to like?



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...



What's not to like? Except the randomness,



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...



What's not to like? Except the randomness, the amortisation,



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...



What's not to like? Except the randomness, the amortisation, and the inflexibility



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs

such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$, the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary)

In previous lectures we have focussed on solutions using Hashing

in particular...



What's not to like? Except the randomness, the amortisation, and the inflexibility



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or <code>NULL</code> otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

```
predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

```
predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

```
predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

 $ext{predecessor}(k)$ - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that $x \leq k$





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

```
predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that $x \leq k$





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
$\operatorname{delete}(x)$	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that $x \leq k$





In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

```
	ext{predecessor}(k) - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x\leqslant k
```

successor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the smallest key, x such that $x \ge k$



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x,v) (assuming (x,v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

 $\mathsf{predecessor}(k)$ - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that $x\leqslant k$

 $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{successor}(k) \ \text{- returns the (unique) element } (x,v) \ \text{in the dictionary} \\ & \text{with the smallest key, } x \ \text{such that } x \geqslant k \\ & & & & & & \\ \hline predecessor(k) & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

```
predecessor(k) - returns the (unique) element (x, v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```

 $\operatorname{successor}(k)$ - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the smallest key, x such that $x \ge k$



In a **dynamic dictionary** data structure we store (*key*, *value*)-pairs such that for any *key* there is at most one pair (*key*, *value*) in the dictionary.

Three operations are supported:

$\operatorname{add}(x,v)$	Add the the pair (x,v) where $x\in U$ - the <i>universe</i>
lookup(x)	Return v if (x,v) is in dictionary, or NULL otherwise.
delete(x)	Remove pair (x, v) (assuming (x, v) is in the dictionary).

What happens if we add more operations?

We also want our data structure to support:

```
	ext{predecessor}(k) - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the largest key, x such that x \leq k
```

 ${\sf successor}(k)$ - returns the (unique) element (x,v) in the dictionary with the smallest key, x such that $x \geqslant k$

These are very natural operations that the **Hashing**-based solutions that we have seen are very unsuited to









 $\operatorname{add}(x,v)$, $\operatorname{lookup}(x)$, $\operatorname{delete}(x)$, $\operatorname{predecessor}(k)$ and $\operatorname{successor}(k)$





each in $O(\log n)$ worst case time and O(n) space





each in $O(\log n)$ worst case time and O(n) space

where n is the number of elements stored





each in $O(\log n)$ worst case time and O(n) space

where n is the number of elements stored

they are also *deterministic*



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:







In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



Warning: As stated the operations do not store any data (values) with the integers (keys)



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



Warning: As stated the operations do not store any data (values) with the integers (keys) It is straightforward to extend the **van Emde Boas tree** to store (key, value) pairs when the keys are integers from U



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



Warning: As stated the operations do not store any data (values) with the integers (keys) It is straightforward to extend the **van Emde Boas tree** to store (key, value) pairs when the keys are integers from U

(but I think it's easier to think about like this)



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:







In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



All operations will take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



All operations will take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)

and it is a deterministic data structure



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



All operations will take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time

and the space used is O(u)

and it is a deterministic data structure

Example: If $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots 100 \cdot n\}$, you get $O(\log \log n)$ time and O(n) space



In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



All operations will take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)

and it is a deterministic data structure

Example: If $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots n^2\}$, you get $O(\log \log n)$ time and $O(n^2)$ space


van Emde Boas Trees

In this lecture, we will see the van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

which stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations will be supported:



All operations will take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)

and it is a deterministic data structure

Example: If $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots n^3\}$, you get $O(\log \log n)$ time and $O(n^3)$ space



Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!



Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!



Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!



Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!



Build an array of length u...







Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...





Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!

The predecessor and successor operations take O(u) time



Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!

The predecessor and successor operations take ${\cal O}(u)$ time



Build an array of length u...



The operations add, delete and lookup all take O(1) time. ... looks good so far!

The predecessor and successor operations take O(u) time ... not so good!













Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits







Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits



(on top of a big array)

C is called the summary of A





Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits



C is called the summary of A



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits





Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.




Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.





Split *A* into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into $\sqrt{u} \ \textit{blocks}\$ each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into $\sqrt{u} \; \textit{blocks} \;$ each containing $\sqrt{u} \; \textit{bits}$

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into $\sqrt{u} \ \textit{blocks}\$ each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into $\sqrt{u} \ \textit{blocks}\$ each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.



(on top of a big array)



Split A into \sqrt{u} blocks each containing \sqrt{u} bits

The lookup and add operations take O(1) time.

University of BRISTOL



University of BRISTOL



University of BRISTOL



University of BRISTOL



University of BRISTOL

An abstract view



BRISTOL

An abstract view

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$



University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$
University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$

(this is just to deal with the offset from the start of the real universe)

University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$

(this is just to deal with the offset from the start of the real universe)

University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$

We also build a summary data structure C

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

i is stored in C iff B[i] is non-empty

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



For block i, we build a data structure B[i]

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

 $x \text{ is stored in } B[i] \text{ iff } \left(x + (i-1)\sqrt{u} \right) \in S$

We also build a summary data structure C

which stores elements from $\{1,2,3,\ldots\sqrt{u}\}$

i is stored in C iff B[i] is non-empty

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How should we build $B[1], B[2], \ldots B[\sqrt{u}]$ and C?



University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How should we build $B[1], B[2], \dots B[\sqrt{u}]$ and C? *Recursion!*

University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How should we build $B[1], B[2], \dots B[\sqrt{u}]$ and C? *Recursion!*

Each B[i] has universe $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots \sqrt{u}\}$

University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How should we build $B[1], B[2], \dots B[\sqrt{u}]$ and C? *Recursion!*

Each B[i] has universe $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots \sqrt{u}\}$

We recursively split this into $\sqrt[4]{u}$ blocks each associated with $\sqrt[4]{u}$ elements...

University of BRISTOL

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How should we build $B[1], B[2], \dots B[\sqrt{u}]$ and C? *Recursion!*

Each B[i] has universe $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots \sqrt{u}\}$

We recursively split this into $\sqrt[4]{u}$ blocks each associated with $\sqrt[4]{u}$ elements... eventually (after some more work), this will lead to an $O(\log \log u)$ time solution



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements





Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



How do we perform the operations?



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C

University of BRISTOL

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C

University of BRISTOL

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements





Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in

Step 2 Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in

Step 2 Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B|i|)

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]

University of BRISTOL

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]

Diversity of BRISTOI

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B|i|)

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]

Diversity of BRISTOI

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B|i|)

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]

Diversity of BRISTOI

Attempt 3: Recursion

Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B|i|)

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements




Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner

How efficient are the operations?



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in (this takes O(1) time with a little bit twiddling)

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C

Step 3 add x to B[i] (suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements





Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements





Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[i])

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]:

Compute $j = \operatorname{predecessor}(i)$ in C

Compute the predecessor of x in B[j]

(suitably adjusting the offset from the start of B[j])



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner

How efficient are the operations?



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner

How efficient are the operations?

The add operation makes up to two recursive calls and the predecessor operation makes up to three



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner

How efficient are the operations?

The add operation makes up to two recursive calls and the predecessor operation makes up to three

Each recursive call could in turn make multiple recursive calls...



Split the universe U into \sqrt{u} blocks each associated with \sqrt{u} elements



The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner

How efficient are the operations?

The add operation makes up to two recursive calls and the predecessor operation makes up to three

Each recursive call could in turn make multiple recursive calls...

this could get out of hand!





Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]



Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x has no predecessor in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** Compute the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]

Step 3 If x < the minimum in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in C

Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

Now we make at most two recursive calls

A closer look at predecessor

Observation 1: if x has a predecessor in B[i] we only make one recursive call



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]:

Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

Now we make at most two recursive calls (ignoring finding the minimum)





Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in Step 2 If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]Step 3 If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]





Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i] **Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]





Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i] **Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor (i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]

Observation 2: In **Step 3**, the predecessor of x in B[j] is the maximum in B[j]



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the predecessor of x in B[j]

we need to get rid of one of these recursive calls

University of BRISTOL

University of BRISTOL

Observation 2: In **Step 3**, the predecessor of x in B[j] is the maximum in B[j]



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the predecessor of x in B[j]

University of BRISTOL

Observation 2: In **Step 3**, the predecessor of x in B[j] is the maximum in B[j]



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]: Compute j = predecessor(i) in C Return the maximum in B[j]

Observation 2: In **Step 3**, the predecessor of x in B[j] is the maximum in B[j]



To perform predecessor(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If $x \ge$ the minimum in B[i]: Return the predecessor of x in B[i]**Step 3** If x < the minimum in B[i]:

Compute j = predecessor(i) in CReturn the maximum in B[j]

Now we make exactly one recursive call (ignoring finding the min/max)

University of BRISTOL



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...





So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...





So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular B[i] also stores it's min/max elements separately so recovering the minimum or maximum in B[i] (or C) takes O(1) time



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular B[i] also stores it's min/max elements separately so recovering the minimum or maximum in B[i] (or C) takes O(1) time

There is one more important thing, the minimum is **not** also stored in B[i]



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular B[i] also stores it's min/max elements separately so recovering the minimum or maximum in B[i] (or C) takes O(1) time

There is one more important thing, the minimum is **not** also stored in B[i]



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular B[i] also stores it's min/max elements separately so recovering the minimum or maximum in B[i] (or C) takes O(1) time

There is one more important thing, the minimum is **not** also stored in B[i]



So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...



Remember that each B[i] and C are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular B[i] also stores it's min/max elements separately so recovering the minimum or maximum in B[i] (or C) takes O(1) time

There is one more important thing, the minimum is **not** also stored in B[i] this allows us to avoid making multiple recursive calls when adding an element



Another look at add



To perform add(x):

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If B[i] is empty, add i to Cand set the min and max in B[i] to x (adjusting the offset) **Step 3** If B[i] is not empty, add x to B[i]



Another look at add



Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in **Step 2** If B[i] is empty, add i to Cand set the min and max in B[i] to x (adjusting the offset) **Step 3** If B[i] is not empty, add x to B[i]



Another look at add



Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs inwe make
one recursive callStep 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C
and set the min and max in B[i] to x (adjusting the offset)Step 3 If B[i] is not empty, add x to B[i]




To perform add(x):

































To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:





To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$:

Now we always make exactly one recursive call but what happens when the min/max change?













To perform add(x):

Now we always make exactly one recursive call but what happens when the min/max change?

Step 0 If $x < \min$ then swap x and \min

Step 1 Determine which B[i] the element x belongs in

Step 2 If B[i] is empty, add i to C

and set the min and max in B[i] to x (adjusting the offset) Step 3 If B[i] is not empty, add x to B[i]Step 4 Update the max









We have seen that the operations add and predecessor can be defined so that they make only one recursive call





We have seen that the operations add and predecessor can be defined so that they make only one recursive call

The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner so that they make only one recursive call





We have seen that the operations add and predecessor can be defined so that they make only one recursive call

The operations lookup, delete and successor can all also be defined in a similar, recursive manner so that they make only one recursive call

How long do the operations take?









Let T(u) be the time complexity of the add operation

(where u is the universe size)





Let T(u) be the time complexity of the add operation

(where u is the universe size)

We have that, $T(u) = T(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$





Let T(u) be the time complexity of the add operation

(where u is the universe size)

We have that, $T(u) = T(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$

Using substitution and the master method you can show that... $T(u) = O(\log \log u)$





Let T(u) be the time complexity of the predecessor operation (where u is the universe size)

We have that, $T(u) = T(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$

Using substitution and the master method you can show that... $T(u) = O(\log \log u)$





Let T(u) be the time complexity of the <u>predecessor</u> operation (where u is the universe size)

We have that,
$$T(u) = T(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$$

Using substitution and the master method you can show that... $T(u) = O(\log \log u)$

this holds for all the operations









Let Z(u) be the space used by a vEB tree over a universe of size u





Let Z(u) be the space used by a vEB tree over a universe of size u

We have that,
$$Z(u) = (\sqrt{u}+1) \cdot Z(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$$





Let Z(u) be the space used by a vEB tree over a universe of size u

We have that,
$$Z(u) = (\sqrt{u}+1) \cdot Z(\sqrt{u}) + O(1)$$

If you solve this you get that... Z(u) = O(u)



van Emde Boas Trees

The van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations are supported:



All operations take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)



van Emde Boas Trees

The van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

stores a set S of integer keys from a universe $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots u\}$ (i.e. u = |U|).

Five operations are supported:



All operations take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is O(u)

The space can be improved to O(n) using hashing (see y-fast trees)