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So that we can find the min/max quickly we store them seperately...


Remember that each $B[i]$ and $C$ are also vEB (van Emde Boas) trees each over the universe $\{1,2,3, \ldots \sqrt{u}\}$

In particular $B[i]$ also stores it's min/max elements seperately
so recovering the minimum or maximum in $B[i]$ (or $C$ ) takes $O(1)$ time
There is one more important thing, the minimum is not also stored in $B[i]$ this allows us to avoid making multiple recursive calls when adding an element

Another look at add


To perform add $(x)$ :

Step 1 Determine which $B[i]$ the element $x$ belongs in
Step 2 If $B[i]$ is empty, add $i$ to $C$
and set the min and max in $B[i]$ to $x$ (adjusting the offset)
Step 3 If $B[i]$ is not empty, add $x$ to $B[i]$
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To perform $\operatorname{add}(x)$ :

Step 1 Determine which $B[i]$ the element $x$ belongs in
we make
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Step 1 Determine which $B[i]$ the element $x$ belongs in
Step 2 If $B[i]$ is empty, add $i$ to $C$
and set the min and max in $B[i]$ to $x$ (adjusting the offset)
Step 3 If $B[i]$ is not empty, add $x$ to $B[i]$

Another look at add


Step 0 If $x<$ min then swap $x$ and min
Step 1 Determine which $B[i]$ the element $x$ belongs in
Step 2 If $B[i]$ is empty, add $i$ to $C$
and set the min and max in $B[i]$ to $x$ (adjusting the offset)
Step 3 If $B[i]$ is not empty, add $x$ to $B[i]$
Step 4 Update the max
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Let $T(u)$ be the time complexity of the predecessor operation
(where $u$ is the universe size)
We have that, $T(u)=T(\sqrt{u})+O(1)$

Using substitution and the master method you can show that... $\quad T(u)=O(\log \log u)$
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## Space Complexity



Let $Z(u)$ be the space used by a vEB tree over a universe of size $u$

We have that, $Z(u)=(\sqrt{u}+1) \cdot Z(\sqrt{u})+O(1)$

If you solve this you get that... $Z(u)=O(u)$

## van Emde Boas Trees

## The van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

stores a set $S$ of integer keys from a universe $U=\{1,2,3,4 \ldots u\}$ (i.e. $u=|U|$ ).
Five operations are supported:

| $\operatorname{add}(x)$ | Insert the integer $x$ into $S$ (where $x \in U$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| lookup $(x)$ | Return yes if $x$ is in $S$, or no otherwise. |
| delete $(x)$ | Remove $x$ from $S$ |
| predecessor $(k)$ | Return the largest integer $x$ in $S$ such that $x \leqslant k$ |
| successor $(k)$ | Return the smallest integer $x$ in $S$ such that $x \geqslant k$ |



All operations take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is $O(u)$
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## The van Emde Boas (vEB) tree

stores a set $S$ of integer keys from a universe $U=\{1,2,3,4 \ldots u\}$ (i.e. $u=|U|$ ).
Five operations are supported:

| $\operatorname{add}(x)$ | Insert the integer $x$ into $S$ (where $x \in U$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| lookup $(x)$ | Return yes if $x$ is in $S$, or no otherwise. |
| delete $(x)$ | Remove $x$ from $S$ |
| predecessor $(k)$ | Return the largest integer $x$ in $S$ such that $x \leqslant k$ |
| successor $(k)$ | Return the smallest integer $x$ in $S$ such that $x \geqslant k$ |



All operations take $O(\log \log u)$ worst case time and the space used is $O(u)$

The space can be improved to $O(n)$ using hashing (see y-fast trees)

